

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,941	08/31/2001	Thomas Joseph Prorock	RPS920010141US1	2053
45503 7	590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
8911 N. CAPIT	TAL OF TEXAS HWY.,			
SUITE 2110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78759			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/943,941	PROROCK, THOMAS JOSEPH				
7. 2 7.20.7	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY (check either a) or b)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office (content of the content of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req the application in condition for allowance b 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.	·				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	adse it is not directed SOLLLY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 9-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(с),(т. с. т. т. с.) г. арал то(с)	JUPM				
		Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the final rejection is believed to have been proper. The rejection specifies that an immediate/current purchase qualifies the user for an award if the new point total is sufficiently high. The calculation of updated point balance is taken to be "based on said located incentive award information" (i.e. the user's past balance). Applicant argues that the instant invention's award qualification is not based upon an accumulation of purchases/points and that such accumulation is not required by the instant invention. These arguments are narrower than the presented claim scope. The proposed combination reads on the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's amendment clarifies what is taken to be clerical omissions. If appealed, the amendment would be entered, however the same grounds of rejection would be applied.